

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/561,382	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HERMET ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> TAMIKO D. BELLAMY	<b>Art Unit</b> 2856	

**All Participants:**

(1) TAMIKO D. BELLAMY.

(2) Barry Kelmachter.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 June 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

24 and 32

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner suggested amending claims 24 and 32 to change intermediate sampling chamber to --at least one intermediate sampling chambers*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)